

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,747	01/22/2002	Kevin R. Kretsch	564.002US1	3570
21186	7590 04/29/2004		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			TORRES, ALICIA M	
P.O. BOX 293 MINNEAPOL	8 .IS. MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3671	

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	A
	10/054,747	KRETSCH, KEVIN R.	P
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alicia M Torres	3671	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan			is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-20</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-14 and 21-27</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : :		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.	
Coo the attached actained control and a not			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11072003. 		eater Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8-14, 21, 22, 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pansini '791.
- 3. In regards to claims 1, 2, 4, and 5, Pansini '791 discloses a weed control system for a body of water, the system comprising:

A weed contacting member (28, 32) suspendable within the body of water proximate a bed of weeds; and

A drive member (at 47) for automatically moving the weed contacting member (28, 32) in a repeating circular arc pattern over the bed of weeds, the weed contacting member (28, 32) freely hanging down from a support member (11) located at a surface of the body of water such that the weed contacting member (28, 32) repeatedly brushes against any weeds in the bed of weeds, as per claim 1; and

Wherein the weed contacting member (28, 32) is flexibly attached to the support member (11) which is located approximately on or above a surface of the body of water, as per claim 2; and

wherein the drive member (at 47) is reversible and is configured to change a direction of

Art Unit: 3671

movement of the weed contacting member (28, 32) when a predetermined time limit is reached, as per claim 4; and

wherein the drive member (at 47) includes a pair of opposing nozzles (38, 39) which alternately eject a jet of water to drive the drive member (at 47), as per claim 5.

4. In regards to claim 8, Pansini '791 discloses a weed control system for a body of water, the system comprising:

A weed contacting member (20); and

Water activated means including a nozzle (38, 39) which emits water to develop thrust for moving the weed contacting member (20) in a repeating pattern through the body of water such that the weed contacting member (20) repeatedly contacts any weeds in a path of the weed contacting member (20).

5. In regards to claims 9-11, Pansini '791 discloses a weed control system for a body of water, the system comprising:

a weed contacting member (28, 32); and

Wherein the weed contacting member (28, 32) is moved in a repeating pattern through the body of water such that the weed contacting member (28, 32) repeatedly contacts any weeds in a path of the weed contacting member;

wherein water activated means including a nozzle (38) which emits water to develop thrust for moving the weed contacting member (20); and

Art Unit: 3671

Wherein water activated means further comprises a second nozzle (39) and a water pump coupled to the nozzle (38) and the second nozzle (39) which open in generally opposite directions from each other and which are alternately activated, as per claim 9; and

Wherein the weed contacting member (20) is suspended from a support member (11) which is rotatably coupled to a stationary unit (14) proximate the body of water and which extends over a surface of the body of water, as per claim 10; and

wherein water activated means (38, 39) automatically changes a direction of movement of the weed contacting member (28, 32) when a predetermined time limit is reached, as per claim 11.

- 6. In regards to claims 12-14, Pansini '791 discloses A weed control system for a body of water, the system comprising:
 - a support member (11);
- a buoyant member (22) coupled to the support member (11) to keep the support member (11) at or above a surface of the body of water;
- a weed contacting member (28, 32) suspended from the support member (11) to descend beneath the surface of the body of water; and
- a driver (38, 39) to move the support member (11) across the surface of the body of water in a repeating pattern such that the weed contacting member (28, 32) also moves in a repeating pattern and repeatedly brushes against any weeds beneath the support member (11), as per claim 12; and

Art Unit: 3671

wherein the support member (11) includes an elongated pipe having a first end rotatably coupled to a stationary unit (14) proximate the body of water, as per claim 13; and wherein the driver (38, 38) includes a water activated driver coupled to the support member (11), as per claim 14.

7. In regard to claims 21, 22, and 24, Pansini '791 discloses a weed control system for a body of water, the system comprising:

An elongated support member (11) positioned parallel to a surface of a body of water and positionable at or above the surface, the elongated support member (11) having a first end rotatably coupled to a stationary unit (14) proximate the body of water;

A weed contacting member (28, 32) suspended from the support member (11) and located beneath the surface; and

A reversible driver (at 47) coupled proximate a second end of the elongated support member (11) to drive the elongated support member (11) in a rotating manner repeatedly back and forth across the surface of a section of the body of water such that the weed contacting member (28, 32) is repeatedly pulled back and forth beneath the surface of the section to repeatedly contact any weeds located in that section, as per claim 21; and

Wherein the reversible driver (at 47) is water activated, as per claim 22; and Wherein the reversible driver (at 47) is motor driven, as per claim 24.

8. In regard to claims 25 and 26, Pansini '791 discloses a device wherein the following method of controlling weeds in a body of water is inherent, the method comprising repeatedly

Art Unit: 3671

brushing a bed of weeds with a weed contacting member (28, 32) which is suspended and freely hanging down from a support member (11) located proximate a surface of the body of water, as per claim 25; and

Wherein repeatedly brushing includes periodically reversing a direction of the weed contacting member (28, 32) in response to a timer (the regulating mechanism that rotates ring 40, see column 3, lines 40-49) such that the weed contacting member (28, 32) moves back and forth over the bed of weeds, as per claim 26.

9. In regards to claim 27, Pansini '791 discloses a method of controlling weeds in a body of water, the method comprising:

coupling a first end of a support member (11) to a stationary unit (14) proximate the body of water such that the support member (11) extends over a surface of the body of water;

suspending a weed contacting member (28, 32) from the support member (11) such that the weed contacting member (28, 32) is located beneath the surface; and

moving the support member (11) in a repeating circular arc pattern such that the weed contacting member (28, 32) repeatedly brushes against any weeds located proximate the weed contacting member to disintegrate the weeds.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 3 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pansini '791 in view of Thompson et al., hereafter Thompson.

The device is disclosed as applied to claims 1 and 21 above. However, Pansini fails to disclose wherein the weed contacting member includes a cross-bar having a plurality of tines extending from a body of the cross-bar.

Thompson discloses a weed rake including a cross-bar (35) having a plurality of tines (36) extending from a body of the cross-bar (35).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the rake structure of Thompson on the cleaning apparatus of Pansini '791 in order to engage and move weeds.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments, see pages 1 and 2, filed November 7, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-3, 5, 7, 8, 10 and 25 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Majkrzak U.S. 5,359,835 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection

has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Thompson and Majkrzak.

Page 8

- Applicant's arguments, see page 4, filed November 7, 2003, with respect to the 13. rejection(s)of claim(s) 12-14 under 35 USC 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Pansini '791.
- Applicant's arguments, see page 5, filed November 27, 2003, with respect to the 14. rejection(s) of claim(s) 27 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Thompson have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Thompson and Majkrzak.
- In regards to applicant's argument regarding claims 21, 23 and 24, that there is no reason 15. to combine Thompson and Majkrzak, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, converting the linear motion of the device to a circular pattern is well within the combined teachings of Thompson et al. and Majkrzak, and only involves the switching of the means which moves the weed contacting member through the body of water to provide an alternative arrangement for moving the weed contacting member of Thompson et al. through the water.

Art Unit: 3671

Regarding the inclusion on Pansini '791 in the instant Office Action, it is noted by the examiner that Pansini discloses a swimming pool cleaner, the cleaner being inherently capable of performing the function of the apparatus of the present invention. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 17. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 18. Claims 15-20 are allowed.

Conclusion

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia M. Torres whose telephone number is 703-305-6953. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:00 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at 703-308-3870.

Art Unit: 3671

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-1113. The fax number for this Group is 703-872-9306.

Supervisory Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 3671

AMT April 21, 2004